

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,421 10/18/2001		10/18/2001	Robert Sackstein	18989-020	1314
26161	7590	08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC	GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
•				1644	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/042,421	SACKSTEIN, ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phillip Gambel	1644		

	Phillip Gambel	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 27 July 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because								
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	i					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		j					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	Oun	110G44100						
Claim(s) objected to:	PHILLE	GAMBEL, PH.D	0/1/					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,62 and 63</u> .	PRIMA	ARY EXAMINER	8/14/05					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-61</u> .	ጉድ	H course l						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								

lle

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: After final amendments added new limitations that require furrither search and consideration, also possible new matter under 112, first paragraph, For example, the specification as filed may support the exons as they read on CD44S, as disclosed on pages 9-10 of the instant specification and NOT on a genus "a human CD44 gene", as currently amended.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record, Applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. See last Office Action for a more complete analysis. Also, it appears applicant's arguments address the limitations of the After Final Amendment, which has NOT bee entered.